06-21-02

Attorney Docket No. UMC-96-279 CON Client Matter No. 81848.0016.001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Chih-Chien LIU, et al

Serial No. 09/546,174

Filed: April 11, 2000

HIGH DENSITY PLASMA CHEMICAL For:

VAPOR DEPOSITION PROCESS

Examiner: 1711

Art Unit: R. SERGENT

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The undersigned hereby certifies that the following documents:

Amendment and Response to Communication of June 4202
 Certificate of Mailing by Express Mail: and

2. Certificate of Mailing by Express Mail; and

3. Return postcard,

relating to the above application, were deposited as "Express Mail", Mailing Label No. EL 936771941US with the United States Postal Service, addressed to The Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C., 20231, on June 19, 2002.

Mailer

June 19, 2002

June 19, 2002

Carol W. Burton, Reg. No. 35,465

Hogan & Hartson L.L.P. 1200 17<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 1500 Denver, Colorado 80202

(303) 454-2454 (telephone) (303) 899-7333 (facsimile)

Attorney Docket No. UMC-96-279 CON Client Matter No. 81848.0016.001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

Chih-Chien LIU, et al.

Serial No. 09/546,174

Filed: April 11, 2000

For: HIGH DENSITY PLASMA CHEMICAL

VAPOR DEPOSITION PROCESS

Examiner: R. SERGENT

Art Unit: 1711

RECEIVED
JUN 26 1001
JUN 26 CENTER 1700

## AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE TO COMMUNICATION OF JUNE 4, 2002

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the Communication mailed June 4, 2002, please amend the application as follows:

## IN THE CLAIMS:

Please enter newly presented claims 42-61 according to the attached sheets. New claims 42-61 correspondence to originally presented claims 1-20 with unentered amendments filed March 12, 2002.

Please further amend claims 38, 39 and 41 according to the attached sheets.

## **REMARKS**

This Amendment is responsive to the Communication mailed mailed June 4, 2002, which indicated the March 12, 2002 Amendment & Response to the September 13, 2002 Office Action failed to fully comply with 37 C.F.R. § 1.21(c)(2) because previously cancelled claims 1-20 were asked to be reinstated with their original numbering.

In accordance with the Communication, claims 1-20 are now presented as new claims 42-61, amended in the manner described in the March 12, 2002